



---

Llywodraeth Cymru  
Welsh Government

# **Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act**

**Explanatory Memorandum**  
incorporating the  
**Regulatory Impact Assessment and**  
**Explanatory Notes**

April 2026

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## Contents

PART 1 – EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM .....	3
1. Description .....	3
2. Legislative Competence .....	4
3. Purpose and intended effect of the legislation .....	5
4. Consultation .....	14
5. Power to make subordinate legislation .....	17
PART 2 – REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT .....	20
6. Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) summary .....	20
7. Options .....	30
8. Options, costs and benefits .....	34
9. Impact Assessments .....	49
10. Post implementation review .....	53
Annex 1: Explanatory Notes .....	54
Annex 2: Index of Standing Order requirements .....	55

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **PART 1 – EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM**

### **1. Description**

The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act makes it an offence to operate a stadium or similar venue in Wales and use it, or knowingly permit it to be used, for greyhound racing. The Act also makes it an offence to be involved in organising greyhound racing that takes place, or is intended to take place, in Wales. Greyhound racing is defined as setting greyhounds to run around a track in pursuit of a lure activated by mechanical means and includes timing or training a greyhound as it runs around a track.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **2. Legislative Competence**

Senedd Cymru ("the Senedd") had the legislative competence to make the provisions in the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act ("the Act") pursuant to Part 4 of the Government of Wales Act 2006 ("GoWA 2006") as amended by the Wales Act 2017.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

### **3. Purpose and intended effect of the legislation**

#### **Introduction**

The policy objective is to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales.

#### **Rationale**

- 3.1. There was significant stakeholder and public support for a ban on greyhound racing, driven by concerns over animal welfare. Many believe that ending the practice is necessary to protect greyhounds from harm, exploitation, and commodification. Welfare concerns cited by stakeholders<sup>1</sup> include the rate of injury and fatality, euthanasia, overbreeding, and inadequate provisions for post-racing care and retirement.
- 3.2. Beyond welfare issues, an ethical argument was also brought forward for a ban, reflecting society's evolving values around the treatment of animals and the diminishing social licence for industries that are perceived as relying on animal suffering for entertainment. As a consequence to this, it was seen as increasingly difficult to justify exposing greyhounds to harm through racing.
- 3.3. As outlined in the Animal Welfare Plan for Wales 2021 to 2026<sup>2</sup>, our vision is for all animals to have a good life, one where their physical and emotional needs are met, and where they are protected from harm. Legislating to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales reflects this commitment. Following public consultation, in which nearly two-thirds of respondents supported a phased ban, and in response to a petition signed by over 35,000 people, we took decisive action to safeguard the welfare of racing greyhounds.
- 3.4. This move to a ban aligns with our broader strategy to introduce a national model for regulating animal welfare establishments and activities, ensuring consistent, proportionate, and enforceable standards across Wales.
- 3.5. An Implementation Group will help transition, allowing us to collaborate with stakeholders to ensure that the welfare of greyhounds, the interests of affected communities, and the integrity of our animal welfare framework are all upheld.

#### **Context**

- 3.6. Greyhound racing generates strongly held opinions and a considerable degree of public and political interest.
- 3.7. Greyhound racing has experienced a decline in popularity in recent years with changing social attitudes, and growing concerns over animal welfare.

---

<sup>1</sup> [OPUS at UTS: Identifying optimal greyhound track design for greyhound safety and welfare-Phase II-Progress Report-1 January 2016 to 31 December 2017 - Open Publications of UTS Scholars](#)

<sup>2</sup> [Animal Welfare Plan for Wales 2021 to 2026 | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 3.8. The Greyhound Board of Great Britain<sup>3</sup> (GBGB) is the body with responsibility for the governance, regulation, and management of GBGB licensing of greyhound racing in England, Scotland and Wales. As of 2025, it is understood that there are 19 greyhound tracks licensed by GBGB<sup>4</sup> across the UK. One active track remains in Wales.
- 3.9. Valley Greyhound Stadium<sup>5</sup> (Valley), located in Ystrad Mynach, is the only remaining greyhound racing track in Wales. Opened in 1976, it operated independently until August 2023, when it became licensed by GBGB, with its first race under GBGB licence held in November 2023.
- 3.10. It is our understanding that there are currently 10 greyhound trainers based in Wales who are licensed with GBGB<sup>6</sup>. These trainers predominantly race their greyhounds at Valley. In total, 12 trainers, two of which are located outside of Wales, are affiliated with the stadium, with a reported 250-300 greyhounds eligible to race at Valley.

### **Calls for a ban**

- 3.11. Prior to Valley being granted a GBGB licence and due to perceived concerns over standards at the then independent track, Hope Rescue launched the Amazing Greys' project in 2018<sup>7</sup>. The initiative aimed to improve conditions by offering rescue spaces for surrendered greyhounds and ensuring those injured while racing received immediate veterinary care. It is reported that over 200 surplus greyhounds were taken into their care, of which 40 were reported to be injured. Following the announcement of Valley's intention to become a licensed GBGB track, potentially leading to an increase in the volume of racing, Hope Rescue submitted a petition in September 2021 calling for a ban on greyhound racing in Wales to the Senedd Petitions Committee<sup>8</sup>.
- 3.12. In 2022, the Senedd's Petitions Committee reviewed the *Ban greyhound racing in Wales* petition which received 35,101 signatures. The resulting report, *The Final Bend*<sup>9</sup> was debated in Plenary session of the Senedd on 8 March 2023. It highlighted welfare concerns raised by animal charities and the industry, and recommended a phased ban, alongside broader actions to improve greyhound welfare. The report advocated a whole-life approach to welfare, before, during, and after racing, and included additional recommendations such as licensing for Welsh Government consideration.

---

<sup>3</sup> [Greyhound Board of Great Britain | GBGB](#)

<sup>4</sup> [Racecourses | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>5</sup> [Greyhounds | Valley Greyhounds | Wales](#)

<sup>6</sup> [GBGB Trainer list | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>7</sup> [Greyhound racing to be banned in Wales | Hope Rescue](#)

<sup>8</sup> [Petitions Committee](#)

<sup>9</sup> [The Final Bend?](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

3.13. A counter-petition<sup>10</sup> supporting the continuation of greyhound racing in Wales received 10,601 signatures before it closed on 22 August 2023. The counter petition submission questioned the information used to create the *Ban greyhound racing in Wales* petition and raised concerns about the potential impact of a ban on Valley. This was not brought forward for debate in the Senedd.

3.14. The Petitions Committee's report *The Final Bend?* outlined five recommendations for the Welsh Government regarding greyhound racing:

- **Recommendation 1** - The Welsh Government is already committed to considering licensing of greyhound racing as part of its Animal Welfare Plan. We would encourage that work being prioritised.
- **Recommendation 2** - A majority of Committee Members believe that Welsh Government action should include a phased ban on greyhound racing in Wales.
- **Recommendation 3** - A greyhound's racing career can make up a short part of its life. Welsh Government action to improve animal welfare should not be restricted to a ban on racing – like the industry's own strategy, it should consider the whole life of dogs, and the conditions in which they are kept before and after their racing careers. This would include the plight of dogs travelling through Wales on their way to race.
- **Recommendation 4** - Welsh Government should ensure any changes are subject to widespread consultation, and that advice is available to those working in the industry on how to comply with any new rules or regulations.
- **Recommendation 5** - In considering a ban on greyhound racing, the Welsh Government should also look at other sports where animals compete.

3.15. Two targeted questions were taken forward and were included in the *Licensing of Animal Welfare Establishments, Activities and Exhibits* consultation<sup>11</sup> discussed in Chapter 4.

3.16. Greyhound racing has been a topic of interest to Senedd Members with cross-party support for several years. Prior to the Bill's introduction greyhound racing<sup>12</sup> was debated in the Senedd on 12 February 2025.

3.17. Data published by GBGB<sup>13</sup> in June 2025, provides data up to and including 2024. It shows that from 355,682 dog runs in total for 2024, falling from 364,981 in 2023, there were 3,809 reported injuries, falling from 4,238 and 123 track-related fatalities, rising from 109 in 2023.

---

<sup>10</sup> [A counter-petition](#)

<sup>11</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

<sup>12</sup> [Plenary 12/02/2025 - Welsh Parliament](#)

<sup>13</sup> [Injury and Retirement Data | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 3.18. The 2024 figures incorporated Valley for the first time, following its affiliation with GBGB in late 2023. We have limited data from Valley; while its data is now included in the recently published GB-wide statistics, it is not identifiable or reported separately.
- 3.19. There is no indication that injury or fatality rates at Valley differ significantly from this trend, as it adheres to the same GBGB standards as other licensed tracks.
- 3.20. The GBGB-licensing of Valley has been reported<sup>14</sup> to have improved welfare oversight through GBGB's set of standards and conditions including veterinary oversight. It is also reported that Valley have invested in upgrades to the track and its facilities<sup>15</sup>. There has also been an increase in race meetings and a commercial media contract<sup>16</sup>, since Valley have been licensed by GBGB. Valley now hosts three race meets per week<sup>17</sup>, each comprising approximately 11 to 12 races with up to six greyhounds per race, an increase from its previous schedule of one meet per week during its time as an independent track.

### Current legislation

- 3.21. In Wales, prior to this Act, there was no specific legislation for the welfare of greyhounds. However, as with all animals, their welfare does fall under the scope of the following, wider legislation:
- **The Animal Welfare Act 2006**<sup>18</sup> makes it a criminal offence for any person responsible for an animal to fail to provide for their animal's welfare needs. Under section 9 of the 2006 Act, a person responsible for an animal has a duty to provide for that animal's needs, which include: its need for a suitable environment; its need for a suitable diet; its need to be able to exhibit normal behaviour patterns; its need to be housed with, or apart from, other animals; and its need to be protected from any pain, suffering, injury or disease. The 2006 Act also makes it a criminal offence to cause an animal any unnecessary pain or suffering.
  - **The Welfare of Animals (Transport) (Wales) Order 2007**<sup>19</sup> (made under the Animal Health Act 1981) makes it an offence to transport any animal in a way which causes, or is likely to cause, injury or unnecessary suffering to that animal.
  - **Microchipping of Dogs (Wales) Regulations 2015**<sup>20</sup> which impose a duty on every keeper of a dog in Wales to have their dog microchipped.
  - **The Animal Welfare (Breeding of Dogs) (Wales) Regulations 2014**<sup>21</sup> which provide for the licensing of persons who breed dogs in Wales.

---

<sup>14</sup> [Greyhound Racing Regulator Welcomes Clear Show of Support for Licensing in Wales | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>15</sup> [Open Letter: Licensed Greyhound Racing in Wales](#)

<sup>16</sup> [News - Valley Greyhounds](#)

<sup>17</sup> [RACING | Harlow Greyhounds](#)

<sup>18</sup> [Animal Welfare Act 2006](#)

<sup>19</sup> [Welfare of Animals \(Transport\) \(Wales\) Order 2007](#)

<sup>20</sup> [The Microchipping of Dogs \(Wales\) Regulations 2015](#)

<sup>21</sup> [The Animal Welfare \(Breeding of Dogs\) \(Wales\) Regulations 2014](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 3.22. In England, the Welfare of Racing Greyhounds Regulations 2010<sup>22</sup> aims to ensure consistent welfare standards, but there are no similar regulations in Wales. The Welfare of Racing Greyhounds Regulations 2010 were introduced in England to ensure minimum welfare standards at all greyhound racing tracks that are not licensed by the GBGB. Under the regulations, local authorities are responsible for licensing these independent tracks and enforcing standards related to veterinary care, kennelling, microchipping, and record-keeping. The GBGB is recognised within the regulations as a trusted regulatory body and therefore tracks under its jurisdiction are exempt from separate licensing under these rules. The regulations aim to ensure that all greyhound racing tracks in England, whether GBGB-licensed or not, are subject to appropriate welfare oversight.
- 3.23. Defra's Post Implementation Review of the *Welfare of Racing Greyhounds Regulations 2010*<sup>23</sup> concluded that the regulations were largely effective in achieving their core aims, particularly by raising welfare standards at independent greyhound racing tracks and improving traceability of greyhounds during their racing careers. The review confirmed that the regulations successfully brought independent tracks up to a minimum standard comparable to those regulated by the GBGB, which is recognised in the regulations as a trusted body and therefore if GBGB licensed, no local authority licensing is required. The review also identified limitations, noting that the regulations only applied to track-based welfare and did not extend to trainers' kennels, breeding, transportation, or post-racing care. Stakeholders raised concerns about the lack of transparency in injury and retirement data and the limited impact of the regulations at GBGB tracks, where similar standards were already in place.
- 3.24. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Scotland) Bill<sup>24</sup> is a Member's Bill introduced by Scottish Green Party Mark Ruskell MSP, with the aim of banning greyhound racing in Scotland. The Bill, which was passed by the Scottish Parliament in March 2026, seeks to make it a criminal offence to permit greyhounds to race at racetracks, citing serious animal welfare concerns. These include high injury and fatality rates, poor living conditions, and the euthanasia of greyhounds deemed unfit to race.
- 3.25. The draft proposal was lodged on 7 February 2024, followed by a public consultation that closed on 1 May 2024. The consultation received 790 responses, with strong public support for the ban. The final proposal was lodged on 26 June 2024, and the Greyhound Racing (Offences) (Scotland) Bill, was formally introduced to the Scottish Parliament in April 2025. The Bill was passed in March 2026, which will leave England as the only GB nation without a ban on greyhound racing.

---

<sup>22</sup> [The Welfare of Racing Greyhounds Regulations 2010](#)

<sup>23</sup> [Welfare of racing greyhounds: post implementation review of the 2010 Welfare of Racing Greyhounds Regulations - GOV.UK](#)

<sup>24</sup> [Proposed Prohibition of Greyhound Racing Scotland Bill | Scottish Parliament Website](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## National and international picture

- 3.26. The Scottish Government commissioned a report<sup>25</sup> published 9 March 2023, on the welfare of greyhounds used for racing in response to concerns raised by the public and animal welfare organisations. Specifically, the Rural Affairs, Islands and Natural Environment<sup>26</sup> (RAINE) Committee of the Scottish Parliament requested the Scottish Animal Welfare Commission (SAWC)<sup>27</sup> to investigate the welfare of racing greyhounds.
- 3.27. This request was partly driven by a public petition which garnered 29,686 signatures calling for an end to greyhound racing in Scotland<sup>28</sup>. The report aimed to address concerns by evaluating the welfare conditions of greyhounds throughout their lives, from birth to retirement, and to provide recommendations for improving their treatment.
- 3.28. The 2023 SAWC report offered a thorough ethical and scientific assessment on the welfare of greyhounds used in racing in Scotland<sup>29</sup>. They concluded that greyhounds would likely experience improved welfare if not used in racing. The report identified serious risks, such as injuries on UK-style oval tracks, and highlighted the industry's reluctance to implement the substantial reforms needed to address these issues. Although commissioned by the Scottish Government, the report provides valuable insight for Wales, on wider issues for greyhounds in racing.
- 3.29. Globally, the greyhound racing industry is facing increasing scrutiny, with New Zealand and several Australian states reviewing or actively phasing out the practice due to persistent welfare concerns. International evidence<sup>30</sup> consistently highlights breed-specific issues, including high injury rates, poor post-racing outcomes, and systemic welfare risks linked to the commercial nature of greyhound racing.
- 3.30. In April 2021 the New Zealand (NZ) Government commissioned a review of animal welfare and safety in the greyhound racing industry by Sir Bruce Robertson (the Robertson review)<sup>31</sup>. On 10 December 2024, the NZ Minister for Racing announced<sup>32</sup> the Government's plans to close down the greyhound racing industry in NZ, with the intention of racing ceasing on 31 July 2026. The formation of a Ministerial Advisory Committee to oversee the closure and its terms of reference was also announced.

---

<sup>25</sup> [Welfare of greyhounds used for racing: report - The Scottish Government](#)

<sup>26</sup> [Session 6 Rural Affairs and Islands Committee | Scottish Parliament Website](#)

<sup>27</sup> [Scottish Animal Welfare Commission - gov.scot](#)

<sup>28</sup> [End greyhound racing In Scotland - Petitions](#)

<sup>29</sup> [Ethical Analysis and Critical Issues - Welfare of greyhounds used for racing: report - gov.scot](#)

<sup>30</sup> [Racing Policy - dia.govt.nz](#)

<sup>31</sup> [Review into Greyhound Racing in New Zealand 2021\\_0.pdf](#)

<sup>32</sup> [Government announces future of greyhound racing in New Zealand | Beehive.govt.nz](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 3.31. In 2024 the NZ government introduced, the Racing Industry (Unlawful Destruction of Specified Greyhounds) Amendment Act 2024<sup>33</sup> which amends the Racing Industry Act 2020<sup>34</sup> to prohibit the destruction (by any means) of greyhounds registered with New Zealand Greyhound Racing Association Incorporated (GRNZ), unless it is done by a veterinarian for a reason specified in the greyhound euthanasia policy of GRNZ, or by a person acting under the authority of any other legislation. The Bill aims to prevent unnecessary or unlawful euthanasia of racing greyhounds in anticipation of a ban in NZ.
- 3.32. The Animal Welfare (Prohibition of Greyhound and Other Sighthound Racing) Amendment Bill (Draft for Consultation)<sup>35</sup>. This draft Member's Bill proposes a complete ban on greyhound and sighthound racing in NZ by amending the Animal Welfare Act 1999<sup>36</sup>. It introduces a new section (31A) that would make it an offence to own, train, breed, race, or promote greyhound or sighthound racing. The Bill is grounded in concerns over ongoing injuries and deaths in the industry and argues that such racing is inconsistent with the recognition of animal sentience and welfare obligations under NZ law. At the time of this publication the bill is currently in the consultation phase and has not yet been introduced.
- 3.33. In Australia, greyhound racing remains legal in all states and territories except the Australian Capital Territory (ACT), which banned greyhound racing in 2018 due to serious animal welfare concerns. In the state of New South Wales (NSW) following the completion of the Special Commission of Inquiry into the Greyhound Racing Industry in NSW in June 2016<sup>37</sup>, Premier Mike Baird announced on 7 July 2016 that NSW will become the first Australian State to shut down greyhound racing, this decision was reversed a few months later.
- 3.34. In 2017, the University of Technology Sydney (UTS) submitted a report to Greyhound Racing New South Wales (GRNSW) titled *Identifying Optimal Greyhound Track Design for Greyhound Safety and Welfare*<sup>38</sup>. The report explored how track design affects greyhound safety. It found that tight turns and uneven surfaces increase injury risk and used biomechanical studies and computer simulations to test safer track layouts. Based on these findings, UTS recommended improvements like better banking, smoother transitions, and upgraded materials to improve safety. These insights helped inform GRNSW's Track Safety & Welfare Strategy 2024<sup>39</sup>, which includes an \$18.3 million investment in safer tracks and the closure of those not meeting new standards.
- 3.35. International evidence on greyhound racing is helping us to better understand its broader welfare implications. This body of research, drawn from countries with established greyhound racing industries, offers insights into issues such as track safety, injury rates, and post-racing outcomes.

---

<sup>33</sup> [Racing Industry \(Unlawful Destruction of Specified Greyhounds\) Amendment Bill 109-1 \(2024\), Government Bill – New Zealand Legislation](#)

<sup>34</sup> [Racing Industry Act 2020 No 28 \(as at 28 June 2025\), Public Act – New Zealand Legislation](#)

<sup>35</sup> [animal-welfare-prohibition-of-greyhound-and-other-sighthound-racing-amendment-bill.pdf](#)

<sup>36</sup> [Animal Welfare Act 1999 No 142 \(as at 05 April 2025\), Public Act Contents – New Zealand Legislation](#)

<sup>37</sup> [Report of the Special Commission of Inquiry into the Greyhound Racing Industry in New South Wales](#)

<sup>38</sup> [OPUS at UTS: Identifying optimal greyhound track design for greyhound safety and welfare-Phase II-Progress Report-1 January 2016 to 31 December 2017 - Open Publications of UTS Scholars](#)

<sup>39</sup> [GRNSW - GRNSW Announce New Track Safety & Welfare Strategy](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act**

3.36. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act makes it an offence for a person who is an operator of a stadium or similar venue in Wales to use it or knowingly permit it to be used for greyhound racing. The Act also makes it an offence for a person to be involved in organising greyhound racing that takes place, or is intended to take place, in Wales. Greyhound racing is defined as setting greyhounds to run around a track in pursuit of a lure activated by mechanical means and includes timing or training a greyhound as it runs around a track.

## **Enforcement of the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act**

3.37. Section 1 of the Act creates two offences. A person commits an offence if they are an operator of a stadium or similar venue in Wales and they use it, or knowingly permit it to be used, for greyhound racing. A person also commits an offence if they are involved in organising greyhound racing that takes place in Wales or is intended to take place in Wales.

3.38. Schedule 2 of the Act creates two offences. A person commits an offence if they fail without reasonable excuse to comply with a requirement for assistance reasonably made under paragraph 9(c) of Schedule 2 or if they intentionally obstruct another in the exercise of a function under Schedule 2.

3.39. Inspectors are persons appointed by a county council, county borough council or Welsh Ministers. The Act, should it become law, will be enforced by Local Authorities.

3.40. The Schedule makes provision about the appointment of inspectors and the powers of enforcement, including powers of entry and search. The limits of these powers are detailed in the Schedule and summarised below.

3.41. The Act gives inspectors powers to enter premises. There must be reasonable grounds for suspecting that an offence is being, has been or is about to be committed or that evidence of the commission of such an offence may be found. Inspectors may not enter premises used as a dwelling without the consent of the occupier or person in charge of the premises or a warrant authorising them to do so, issued by a justice of the peace. The Act has been drafted with due regard to human rights, and the Schedule sets out the conditions that must be satisfied before a warrant may be granted and the limitations of a warrant.

3.42. The Act gives inspectors powers to seize any item, except a dog, that is found on the premises and which the inspector reasonably believes to be evidence of the commission of an offence under section 1.

3.43. Inspectors may use reasonable force where necessary to exercise a power of entry and may take onto premises any such persons required and any necessary equipment and materials to assist in their duties. Those assisting could include specialists, for example a veterinary surgeon.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

3.44. A person guilty of failing to comply with a requirement reasonably made by an inspector or intentionally obstructing an inspector when the inspector is carrying out their duties is liable on summary conviction to a fine.

3.45. The Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) (Part 2) includes an assessment of the impacts of introducing this legislation.

### **The Implementation Group**

3.46. We want to ensure greyhounds, their owners, and those involved in the industry, can wind down from this activity in a planned and reasonable way. This includes safeguarding the welfare of greyhounds currently within the industry, while ensuring there is minimal impact to the local community and economy.

3.47. An Implementation Group was announced<sup>40</sup> on 11 July 2025, to guide the transition towards an intended ban. It will provide advice and practical guidance to the Welsh Ministers, as officials oversee the transition away from greyhound racing. The welfare of greyhounds will be a priority, alongside the impacts on the local community and economy.

3.48. The Implementation Group's remit is to:

- Protect the welfare of those racing greyhounds currently in the industry and during the implementation of the ban.
- Protect the potential increased pressure on the rescue sector brought about by a ban.
- Set out the best practice for the transition, by considering approaches of similar proposals and bans in other countries.
- Offer potential practical solutions to mitigate the social and economic impacts of the consequences of the greyhound racing ban.
- Assist and support the transition of the industry owners and workers away from greyhound racing.

3.49. The Implementation Group is made up of individuals representing a broad spectrum of the industry, animal health and welfare, and social and community sectors.

---

<sup>40</sup> <https://www.gov.wales/written-statement-implementation-group-guide-transition-towards-ban-greyhound-racing-wales>

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## 4. Consultation

- 4.1. There has been no formal consultation on the draft Bill itself before introduction. However, the underlying policy has been subject to consultation, as outlined below.

### Background

- 4.2. The Animal Welfare Plan for Wales 2021-2026 (AWPW)<sup>41</sup> was formulated following the publication of the Programme for Government (PfG) in 2021. As part of the AWPW, the Welsh Government committed to a review of existing legislation relevant to activities involving animals, to develop a priority list of proposed new licensing regulations and to launch a public consultation on these priorities in 2023.
- 4.3. Animal Welfare is a Welsh Government priority, and our ambition is for all animals in Wales to have a good life. Our AWPW 2021-2026 sets out how we will implement these commitments.
- 4.4. Building on an earlier targeted call for evidence, carried out with Welsh Local Authorities, our Local Authority Enforcement Project, and our Animal Welfare Networks. The call for evidence identified gaps in existing legislation and produced a priority list of areas for further consultation. Together, they formed the first phase of development of a national model for the regulation of animal welfare, which is a PfG commitment.
- 4.5. Four key themes were subsequently established for the consultation – animal welfare establishments, animal activities, animal exhibits and racing dogs. The twelve-week public consultation on proposals to licence animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits<sup>42</sup> was launched on 08 December 2023 and closed on 01 March 2024.
- 4.6. Consultation respondents were asked to consider eight questions. In order, these related to animal welfare establishments (Q1), animal activities (Q2), animal exhibits (Q3), licensing for racing dog trainers/keepers/owners (Q4), opinion and evidence for or against a phased ban on greyhound racing (Q5), and the impact on the Welsh language (Q6 and 7). Question 8 was a final, free-text opportunity to expand on previous questions or share further views.
- 4.7. The consultation sought views on proposals to introduce licensing regulations to animal activities, establishments and exhibits. Activities in scope of the consultation were varied, ranging from animal rescues, sanctuaries and rehabilitation centres to pet services (including grooming, walking, day care and boarding) to equine services, human-animal engagements (such as pet therapy), animal exhibits and the owning training and keeping of racing dogs.

---

<sup>41</sup> [animal-welfare-plan-wales-2021-26.pdf](#)

<sup>42</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 4.8. As outlined in Chapter 3, in 2022, the Senedd's Petitions Committee reviewed the *Ban greyhound racing in Wales* petition<sup>43</sup> which received 35,101 signatures. The resulting report, *The Final Bend?*<sup>44</sup> was debated in Plenary on 8 March 2023<sup>45</sup>. It highlighted welfare concerns raised by animal charities and the industry, and recommended a phased ban, alongside broader actions to improve greyhound welfare. The report advocated a whole-life approach to welfare, before, during, and after racing, and included additional recommendations, such as licensing, for the Welsh Government's consideration.
- 4.9. In recognition of significant interest from animal welfare charities campaigning for the welfare of racing greyhounds and following the recommendations from the Petitions Committee's report, *The Final Bend?*, two targeted questions were included in the *Licensing of Animal Welfare Establishments, Activities and Exhibits* consultation.
- 4.10. These questions specifically addressed the potential licensing of greyhound owners, keepers, breeders, and trainers, as well as the consideration of a phased ban on greyhound racing. There were 1180 responses to the consultation, with 1032 relating to the licensing of greyhound owners, keepers and trainers.
- 4.11. Question 4 asked whether owners and/or keepers or trainers of racing dogs (including greyhounds) should be subject to licensing. A total of 1032 responses were received for Q4, 55.5% of respondents were in favour of licensing, 40.6% of respondents were against licensing, with 3.9% of respondents selecting they didn't know.
- 4.12. The majority of respondents were in favour of licensing owners and/or keepers or trainers of racing dogs (including greyhounds) and a significant majority of those that were not in favour were not supportive of the industry. There was specific support for the licensing of owners and/or keepers and trainers involved in commercial greyhound racing throughout the responses. Among these responses, some felt that current industry-led regulation is sufficient, while others argued that no form of licensing could adequately protect racing dogs and called for the abolition of greyhound racing.
- 4.13. The overwhelming argument in this group was that as much as possible had to be done to protect the welfare of racing greyhounds now, with a view to phasing out greyhound racing in the future.
- 4.14. Respondents highlighted that a robust licensing regime was welcomed to ensure high welfare standards of racing dogs throughout their whole life. Many respondents in this group were unaware that statutory licensing was not in place and expressed concern with this position.

---

<sup>43</sup> [Ban greyhound racing in Wales - Petitions](#)

<sup>44</sup> [The Final Bend?](#)

<sup>45</sup> [Agenda for Plenary on Wednesday, 8 March 2023, 13.30](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

4.15. Respondents felt that greyhound racing in Wales lacked sufficient regulation, which many believed contributed to increased public criticism of the industry. A number of respondents expressed a desire to fully understand the implications of a potential ban, with many favouring a phased approach to ending the practice rather than an immediate prohibition. Views on the issue varied, but several key welfare concerns were consistently raised in relation to commercial greyhound racing, including:

- the rate of injuries and euthanasia during racing,
- overbreeding and wastage,
- insufficient kennelling,
- husbandry and transportation,
- retirement process,
- the lack of any current statutory regulation of the industry.

4.16. Further to the above Q4 on the licensing of owners, keepers, or trainers of racing dogs, Q5 asked for evidence to justify or negate consideration of a phased ban in future and asked respondents to select what most appropriately reflected their opinion on greyhound racing. A total of 1031 responses were received for Q5. 64.7% of respondents were in favour of a phased ban, 25.1% were against a phased ban, with 10.2% undecided.

4.17. The majority of consultation responses (64.7%) expressed unfavourable views towards greyhound racing and supported a ban, this reflected a strong strength of feeling among respondents, 25.1% were against a phased ban, although of these 1.5% were against because they supported an immediate ban. Of the group supporting the ban, there was strong concern expressed for the welfare of greyhounds on and away from the track, the exploitation of greyhounds and their poor post racing career outcomes. Moral and ethical arguments of using dogs for entertainment and a change in societal attitudes were cited<sup>46</sup>.

4.18. Among those opposed to a phased ban, 25.1% were against, while 10.2% were undecided. Respondents reasoned a phased ban on greyhound racing would have an economic and cultural impact. Comments mentioned the potential effect on livelihoods, erasing a culturally significant recreation, prejudice of specific demographics, and the possible extinction of racing breeds. Many in this group suggested further regulation and licensing could address perceived welfare concerns without needing a ban, with many highlighting the existing regulation by GBGB.

4.19. Overall, respondents in support of a ban expressed strong concern for the welfare of greyhounds, a sense of urgency to end greyhound racing, and a belief that the industry is unethical and no longer has a social licence to operate.

---

<sup>46</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

4.20. The Summary of responses<sup>47</sup> to the consultation on the *Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities, and exhibits* was published in December 2024. In February 2025, the Deputy First Minister and Cabinet Secretary for Climate Change and Rural Affairs, Huw Irranca-Davies said that now is the right time to move to ban greyhound racing<sup>48</sup> in Wales and has since set out the Welsh Government's response<sup>49</sup> to the consultation on the licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities, and exhibits, through a written statement<sup>50</sup>.

### **Ongoing stakeholder engagement**

4.21. As outlined in Chapter 3, the Implementation Group<sup>51</sup> will continue to provide advice and practical guidance to officials and Welsh Ministers, as part of the transition away from greyhound racing. The Implementation Group's membership includes representation from Valley and from the media company SIS Ltd.

4.22. The Greyhound Board of Great Britain (GBGB) are also a key industry stakeholder. While they declined the opportunity of a seat on the Implementation Group, we will continue to engage with them through the Group to ensure they are kept informed of, and able to contribute to, the transition to a ban and the post-legislative review.

4.23. Through the Implementation Group, engagement has and will continue to take place with those affected by the ban, including trainers and owners of racing greyhounds, to better understand the potential impacts of the Act. In addition, officials have and will continue to hold discussions with other key stakeholders to ensure a broad and informed understanding of the transition process.

4.24. As the transition progresses, the Implementation Group will be instrumental in identifying practical solutions to complex issues, advising and helping to manage impacts. Their ongoing engagement will ensure that the process is open, fair, and based on clear evidence and good practice.

4.25. A post-implementation review will be carried out within 3 years of the Act's provisions coming into force (section 10) and will benefit from input and reflection from stakeholders. This could include reconvening or contacting members of the Implementation Group at the appropriate time to support the review.

---

<sup>47</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

<sup>48</sup> [Wales moves to ban greyhound racing | GOV.WALES](#)

<sup>49</sup> [Welsh-government-response](#)

<sup>50</sup> [Written Statement: The Welsh Government response to the consultation on the 'Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits, including greyhound racing'](#)

<sup>51</sup> [Greyhound Racing Ban Implementation Group | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **5. Power to make subordinate legislation**

5.1. The Act contains a provision to make subordinate legislation. Table 5.1 (subordinate legislation) sets out in relation to this provision:

- (i) the person upon whom, or the body upon which, the power is conferred;
- (ii) the form in which the power is to be exercised;
- (iii) the appropriateness of the delegated power;
- (iv) the applied procedure; that is, whether it is “affirmative”, “negative”, or “no procedure”, together with reasons why it is considered appropriate.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

**Table 5.1: Summary of powers to make subordinate legislation in the provisions of the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Power conferred on</b>	<b>Form</b>	<b>Appropriateness of delegated power</b>	<b>Procedure</b>	<b>Reason for procedure</b>
5(2)	Welsh Ministers	Order	Provision in relation to the commencement of specified sections of the Act. This is suitable for commencement by Order as coming into force needs to be timed to ensure delivery arrangements are in place.	The SI will be laid before the Senedd pursuant to section 37F of the Legislation (Wales) Act 2019.	This order relates to commencement and is technical in nature.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **PART 2 – REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

### **6. Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA) summary**

6.1. A Regulatory Impact Assessment has been completed for the Act, and it follows below.

6.2. There are no specific provisions in the Act which charge expenditure on the Welsh Consolidated Fund.

#### Table A

6.3. The following table presents a summary of the costs and benefits for the Act as a whole. The table has been designed to present the information required under Standing Order 26.6 (viii) and (ix).

<b><i>Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act 2026</i></b>		
<b>Preferred option: Option 3 - Prohibit the racing of greyhounds in Wales.</b>		
<b>Stage:</b> Introduction	<b>Appraisal period:</b> 2026-27- 2031-32	<b>Price base year:</b> 2024-25
<b>Total Cost</b> Total: £76,800 Present value: £71,500	<b>Total Benefits</b> Total: £0 Present value: £0	<b>Net Present Value (NPV):</b> £-71,500

## Administrative cost

### Costs:

There is one active greyhound racing stadium in Wales, Valley Greyhounds Ltd.

The Welsh Government will incur a small implementation cost to develop bilingual guidance for the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act, including stakeholder engagement, estimated at £6,600. Additional communication costs of approximately £1,400 will also be incurred. Costs to implement the ban are expected between 2027-30. There are unlikely to be any cost-savings of significance.

It is intended that the local authority will enforce the legislation, using its existing licensing officers to take enforcement action as needed. While the cost of a single operation to enforce the Act has been estimated at between £5,000 and £10,000, we are not anticipating an additional enforcement cost because we are not anticipating any breaches of the law. Our best estimate of this cost is therefore £zero.

The Welsh Government will incur costs associated with the Implementation Group, including remuneration for the appointed Chair and travel and subsistence (T&S) expenses for group members, these costs are approximately £3,300 per annum. Welsh Government will also provide the secretariat for the group at an estimated cost of £12,000 per annum (this is an opportunity cost). In addition, there is an opportunity cost associated with the time members spend participating in the meetings, this is estimated to be £7,700 per annum. These costs are based on an estimated six meetings per year over the implementation period. The coming-into-force date will determine how long these costs continue and how many years they will apply. As the ban is expected to come into force between April 2027 and April 2030, it is anticipated that the Group will conclude its initial role at this point, although may later be called upon to support the post-implementation review. For the purpose of this assessment we have considered costs up to April 2030.

It is anticipated there will be no significant impact anticipated for Police Forces or the justice system. The estimated cost is £zero.

<b>Transitional:</b> <b>£99,800</b>	<b>Recurrent: £0</b>	<b>Total: £99,800</b>	<b>PV: £91700</b>
--	----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

### Cost-savings:

There is expected to be an administrative cost-saving through a reduction in correspondence from individuals and stakeholders calling for a ban on greyhound racing. Although there is likely to be an increase in correspondence from supporters of greyhound racing, the net impact is an estimated £3,800 per annum administrative cost-saving.

<b>Transitional: £0</b>	<b>Recurrent:</b> <b>£22,800</b>	<b>Total: £22,800</b>	<b>PV: £20,200</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------

**Net administrative cost: £77,000**

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## Compliance costs

The direct impact of a ban through a loss of profits would be expected to fall on Valley Greyhounds Ltd (Valley) whose registered office address is 42 Lytton Road, Barnet, United Kingdom, EN5 5BY<sup>52</sup>.

While some information has been provided about costs and revenues, it has not been possible to estimate the financial impact of a ban on Valley. For example, it is understood Valley currently has a media contract with Sports Information Services (SIS Ltd.) a company which provides content and production services. SIS Ltd. have confirmed that the contract has a total value of £15M over the five-year period 2023–2028, after which it is expected to roll on, although the terms of any extension are not known. In the absence of this Act, it is assumed a further media contract(s) would be agreed beyond the end of the current contract. Therefore, there is assumed to be a loss of future revenue (relative to the baseline scenario). However, the costs Valley incurs for delivering the contracted services are unknown.

It has not been possible to monetise the overall compliance cost due to an absence of publicly available information about Valley's profit and loss and the cost is therefore unknown. However, it is expected that compliance costs will outweigh the administrative costs identified in the sections above.

**Transitional: £0**

**Recurrent: £0**

**Total: £0**

**PV: £0**

<sup>52</sup> [VALLEY GREYHOUNDS LTD overview - Find and update company information - GOV.UK](#)

## Other costs

The prohibition of greyhound racing would result in a direct financial loss to Valley which currently profits from greyhound racing. The financial impact on the owners is not known, due to the lack of available data on its financial activities linked to racing.

Employment at the track would be adversely affected. This would include those directly employed as well as contracts for services at the track, for example cleaning and catering. The exact cost of full-time and part-time staff employed by Valley is not known. GBGB's published trainer list<sup>53</sup> indicates that 12 trainers are attached to the track, while information provided by Valley to the IG states that 15 trainers are attached to Valley. The employed staff, associated businesses and trainers, along with their respective employees, would all face a loss of income. Overall, any negative employment impacts are expected to be small when viewed in the context of general labour market churn in Wales.

Additional income loss may occur for individuals and businesses not directly employed by Valley Stadium, such as those offering goods and services. The track hosts a notable number of races, with live streaming serving as the primary draw for audiences; local footfall (spectators at races) is believed to be limited. As a result, the wider economic impact to the local community is likely to be limited, primarily affecting those directly employed by the stadium alongside trainers and keepers of racing greyhounds. Local businesses (e.g. pubs, restaurants) may see reduced spend, though this is likely to be displaced locally. Local businesses (e.g. pubs, restaurants) may see reduced spend, though this is likely to be displaced locally.

SIS Ltd has a media contract to stream greyhound races held at Valley and may experience a reduction in revenue once greyhound racing is banned in Wales.

There may be minor reductions in travel-related emissions due to fewer visitors to the site. There is potential for future environmental costs depending on the site's use, given its location and environmental sensitivities.

There may be a short-term cost to animal charities for rehoming retired greyhounds, which could be eased by a transition period. Any such costs at this time would be speculative. The Implementation Group includes representatives from animal welfare groups, amongst others, to help mitigate the impact on current owners and safeguard the welfare of greyhounds currently within the industry. with no expectation of financial support from the Welsh Government. Those members have confirmed they will absorb the costs of rehoming greyhounds displaced by a ban, with no expectation of financial support from the Welsh Government. The Implementation Group has also confirmed with GBGB that rehoming organisations will only receive the GBGB rehoming and retirement payment if they are part of the GBGB-approved Greyhound Retirement Scheme. GBGB has indicated that it will not provide these payments to organisations that either support or oppose a prohibition on greyhound racing but are not registered under the scheme. As a result, many rehoming organisations in Wales will not receive this funding.

It has not been possible to quantify these costs.

<b>Transitional: £0</b>	<b>Recurrent: £0</b>	<b>Total: £0</b>	<b>PV: £0</b>
-------------------------	----------------------	------------------	---------------

<sup>53</sup> [GBGB Trainer list | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

## Unquantified costs and disbenefits

The unquantifiable costs to Valley Greyhounds Ltd and other individuals and businesses with links to the track have been summarised in the sections above.

In addition, members of the public who attend races may also lose the recreational value and enjoyment they derive from the activity. Some may choose to travel to tracks outside Wales to continue participating, incurring additional travel time and associated costs. However, the scale of these impacts is unknown due to a lack of data on attendance patterns and the extent of public engagement. These disbenefits are therefore acknowledged qualitatively, but it is not possible to monetise them within this assessment.

**Total: £0**

**PV: £0**

## Benefits

The prohibition is expected to deliver a range of social, cultural, and animal welfare benefits. The way we treat animals is an important reflection of the values of our society. Prohibiting greyhound racing would eliminate the risk of injury, fatality and poor post racing outcomes for racing greyhounds. This aligns with growing public concerns expressed around greyhound racing, including with regard to ethical standards. It may enhance Wales's reputation as a nation committed to high welfare standards. Environmental benefits may arise from reduced travel to the stadium and energy use linked to race events. The policy may encourage cultural shifts towards more ethical forms of animal interaction.

It could free up the stadium or land for alternative uses, for example by the community or environmentally beneficial uses. While difficult to define and quantify, these benefits are considered possible and long-term in nature.

**Total: £0**

**PV: £0**

## Key evidence, assumptions and uncertainties

This assessment is based on an evidence base drawn from publicly available sources and the information provided directly by Valley Greyhounds Ltd. The absence of detailed financial, employment and operational data from Valley and the wider industry, means that the quantification of business, employment and broader economic impacts cannot be assessed at a granular level.

Key assumptions therefore include that Valley's racing activity would otherwise remain stable or increase due to its media contract; that the local authority can absorb enforcement within existing resources, correspondence highlighting animal welfare concerns related to racing of greyhounds in Wales to the Welsh Government will reduce following a ban; and that rehoming pressures can be managed during a transition period supported by the Implementation Group.

The limited information provided by the racing industry directly to Welsh Government officials and through the Implementation Group does not enable a detailed assessment of the financial resilience of trainers, the extent of local economic dependence on racing activity, the future use of the site, and the scale of cross-border racing impacts. As a result, several costs, particularly those relating to Valley's lost profit, the impact on associated businesses and the long-term economic footprint, cannot be comprehensively quantified.

The limited information provided by Valley on footfall and attendance means that it is not possible to produce a detailed assessment of the social impact on the local community, including the extent to which individuals rely on or engage with racing-related activity as a source of social or recreational connection. As a result, the full scale of any social impact cannot be comprehensively quantified. The Implementation Group will play a key role in helping to mitigate any perceived effects and in providing practical advice and guidance to support the local community through the transition.

Valley Stadium could continue to provide community benefits through alternative sporting or recreational activities. However, there remains a high degree of uncertainty regarding the potential impacts on the only greyhound racetrack in Wales and any associated industries. Due to this uncertainty, it has not been possible to quantify the costs of these impacts at this stage.

Costs associated with the monitoring and review of legislation have not been included as considered business as usual and part of standard policy practice.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **Affordability Assessment**

### **Overview**

6.4. This assessment considers the affordability of the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act for relevant public sector organisations in Wales and considers its subsequent financial implications for these bodies.

### **Assessment Summary**

6.5. Following a review of the legislative proposals and associated implementation plans, it is concluded that:

- There are limited financial costs arising for the Welsh Government as a direct result of the Act.
- The Welsh Government will incur costs associated with the Implementation Group, including remuneration for the appointed Chair and travel and subsistence (T&S) expenses for members. These costs are estimated to be £3,300 per annum.
- All other costs and cost-savings identified in the RIA are associated with existing staff time and represent opportunity costs/cost-savings.
- There are no new financial implications anticipated for local authorities, enforcement agencies, or other public sector organisations.

### **Rationale**

- The Act introduces a prohibition on greyhound racing, which is currently limited to a single business in Wales.
- The implementation approach is designed to be proportionate and the coming into force dates of between April 2027 and April 2030 allows for flexibility to allow for a smooth transition without requiring additional public sector resources.
- No new regulatory or enforcement mechanisms are being created that would necessitate public expenditure.
- Existing animal welfare officers within the local authority, as the enforcement body, are anticipated to continue operating within their current remits and budgets and absorb any activity that may arise.
- The Implementation Group includes representatives from the Welsh Local Government Association (WLGA) and Caerphilly County Borough Council.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **Conclusion**

6.6. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act is not expected to result in a significant additional financial burden on public bodies. The policy has been designed so that the transition away from greyhound racing is managed within existing structures and resources. On this basis, the Act is considered to be affordable to Welsh public bodies.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## The Competition Assessment

- 6.7. Understanding and analysing the effects on competition of existing and proposed laws, regulation and policy is important to avoid any unintended consequences or distortions to markets.
- 6.8. The Welsh Government's competition filter test has been applied to the Act which is the preferred option as set out in the Regulatory Impact Assessment.

<b>The competition filter test</b>	<b>Answer (Yes or No)</b>
<b>Q1:</b> In the market(s) affected by the new regulation, does any firm have more than 10% market share?	Yes
<b>Q2:</b> In the market(s) affected by the new regulation, does any firm have more than 20% market share?	Yes
<b>Q3:</b> In the market(s) affected by the new regulation, do the largest three firms together have at least 50% market share?	Yes
<b>Q4:</b> Would the costs of the regulation affect some firms substantially more than others?	Yes
<b>Q5:</b> Is the regulation likely to affect the market structure, changing the number or size of firms?	Yes
<b>Q6:</b> Would the regulation lead to higher set-up costs for new or potential suppliers that existing suppliers do not have to meet?	No
<b>Q7:</b> Would the regulation lead to higher ongoing costs for new or potential suppliers that existing suppliers do not have to meet?	No
<b>Q8:</b> Is the sector characterised by rapid technological change?	No
<b>Q9:</b> Would the regulation restrict the ability of suppliers to choose the price, quality, range or location of their products?	Yes

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 6.9. Valley Greyhound Stadium<sup>54</sup>, located in Ystrad Mynach, is the only remaining greyhound racing track in Wales. Opened in 1976, it operated unlicensed until August 2023, when it became licensed by the GBGB, with its first GBGB-licensed race held in November 2023. It is classed as a small business, having fewer than 50 employees.
- 6.10. While it is understood that there are 18 other GBGB licensed greyhound racing tracks located elsewhere in the UK, the degree of market competition between Valley Greyhound Stadium and the other tracks would appear to be limited.
- 6.11. The Stadium currently has a media contract with Sports Information Services (SIS Ltd.). The financial value provided to the Welsh Government indicates that this is a rolling contract worth £15 million over the five-year period from 2023 to 2028. The terms of any extension are not known.
- 6.12. The Act which bans greyhound racing in Wales means we will ultimately prevent the Stadium's ability to host greyhound racing. It may also impact on the contract they hold with SIS Ltd.
- 6.13. It is acknowledged the ban will have a negative impact on the Stadium's ability to operate as a venue for greyhound racing. The Act will also prevent any further greyhound racing tracks opening in Wales in the future. These are intended effects of the policy.

---

<sup>54</sup> [Greyhounds | Valley Greyhounds | Wales](#)

## 7. Options

- 7.1. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum the policy objective is to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales.
- 7.2. Three options have been considered to achieve the Welsh Government's stated objective of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales.
  - Option 1 – Business as Usual – allow greyhound racing to continue in Wales.
  - Option 2 – Introduce a licensing scheme for owners and/or keepers or trainers of greyhounds.
  - Option 3 – Introduce primary legislation to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales.
- 7.3. Each option is described below along with, where applicable, associated costs and benefits. This RIA does not explore Options 1 and 2 in detail because they do not meet the policy objective.

### **Option 1: Business as usual – allow greyhound racing to continue in Wales**

- 7.4. Valley Greyhound Stadium<sup>55</sup> (Valley), located in Ystrad Mynach, is the only remaining greyhound racing track in Wales. Opened in 1976, it operated as an independent track until August 2023, when it became licensed by GBGB, with its first GBGB-licensed race held in November 2023.
- 7.5. Whilst the greyhound racing industry is understood to have been steadily declining, with track closures and reduced attendance, Valley hosts regular race meetings, and the number of races held has increased since it became licensed with GBGB. Valley now hosts three race meets per week, an increase from its previous schedule of one meet per week during its time as an independent unlicensed track.
- 7.6. It is believed this is largely due to Valley's main source of income, a live streaming contract with Sports Information Services (SIS) Ltd. SIS pays licensed tracks for the rights to broadcast live greyhound races to betting shops and online platforms.
- 7.7. As a result, it is considered unlikely that greyhound racing at Valley would reduce. It is more likely that the volume of greyhound races held at Valley would have remained stable or increased, driven by its live streaming agreements, without this Act being in force.
- 7.8. Should the number of greyhound races held at Valley have remained stable or increased, the number of greyhounds being required to be raced is likely to have remained stable or increased. It is reasonable to anticipate that the risks to greyhound welfare, including both track related injuries and race-related fatalities, will reflect the trends consistently observed in the annually published

---

<sup>55</sup> [Greyhounds | Valley Greyhounds | Wales](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

injury and fatality data<sup>56</sup> under GBGB-regulated racing. This could have increased the strength of public feeling and stakeholder pressure calling for a ban on greyhound racing.

7.9. As discussed in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, in April 2025 an Opposition Member of the Scottish Parliament, Mark Ruskell MSP, introduced a private members Bill, The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Scotland) Bill<sup>57</sup>, to ban greyhound racing in Scotland<sup>58</sup>. The Bill was passed by the Scottish Parliament in March 2026 and should the Bill receive Royal Assent, greyhound racing in Scotland will be banned.

7.10. Greyhound racing remains legal in England and the UK Government indicated in February that it has no intention<sup>59</sup> to ban greyhound racing in England.

7.11. "Business as usual" would not meet the policy objective of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales.

### **Option 2: Introduce a licensing scheme for owners and/or keepers or trainers of greyhounds**

7.12. There are no specific regulations for the welfare of greyhounds in Wales, but their welfare does fall under the scope of wider legislation, including the Animal Welfare Act 2006. Further information on this is provided in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum.

7.13. As discussed in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Explanatory Memorandum, in recognition of significant public interest in the welfare of racing greyhounds, and following recommendations from the Petitions Committee<sup>60</sup>, two specific questions relevant to greyhound racing were included in the Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits consultation<sup>61</sup> which closed on 01 March 2024, receiving over 1100 responses.

7.14. On the question relating to the licensing of owners, trainers and keepers of racing dogs, respondents gave a majority (55.5%) "yes" response indicating concern that the welfare of racing dogs is currently not sufficiently protected. 40.6% of submissions chose "no", of this group who chose "no." 31.6% felt that current industry-led regulation is insufficient and argued that no form of licensing could adequately protect racing dogs and called for the abolition of greyhound racing. The remaining proportion of "no" (7.8%) felt that current GBGB standards were sufficient and that the industry was doing enough to safeguard the welfare of racing greyhounds.

7.15. Many respondents felt that licensing could serve as an initial step in regulating the industry. It was suggested that licensing would help build a clearer

---

<sup>56</sup> [Injury and Retirement Data | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>57</sup> [Proposed Prohibition of Greyhound Racing Scotland Bill | Scottish Parliament Website](#)

<sup>58</sup> [Greyhound Racing \(Offences\) \(Scotland\) Bill | Scottish Parliament Website](#)

<sup>59</sup> [Topical Questions - Hansard - UK Parliament](#)

<sup>60</sup> [Ban greyhound racing in Wales - Petitions](#)

<sup>61</sup> <https://www.gov.wales/licensing-animal-welfare-establishments-activities-and-exhibits>

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

understanding of the full life cycle of a racing greyhound. This, in turn, could provide an evidence base to support or refute the case for a future ban.

- 7.16. The licensing of owners, keepers, or trainers has not been included in this specific assessment given that it does not align with the primary policy objective of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales. However, this does not prevent its consideration in broader discussions around animal welfare. The Welsh Government remains committed to a comprehensive approach to animal welfare, and such measures may still form part of future policy development or be explored within wider frameworks addressing the welfare of animals in other contexts.
- 7.17. While many respondents were in favour of a licensing scheme for owners and/or keepers or trainers, it does not meet the policy objective which is to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales, therefore, has not been considered as part of this assessment.
- 7.18. Regulation and licensing were explored during Stage one of the Bill process during evidence sessions, and non-government amendments were proposed at Stage 2 to introduce a regulatory framework. Wales has only one active GBGB-affiliated greyhound racing stadium, which already operates under industry standards. Establishing additional regulatory and enforcement structures for a short interim period would be disproportionate and would delay the coming-into-force date of the ban, prolonging the period during which greyhounds remain at risk of injury or fatality. Despite GBGB standards and further voluntary welfare measures, harm to greyhounds continues. Introducing regulation at this stage has been assessed and concluded that it would provide no meaningful welfare benefit.

### **Option 3: Introduce primary legislation to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales**

- 7.19. There has been substantial support for a ban on greyhound racing in Wales on welfare grounds and to avoid their exploitation and commodification. There are wider ethical and moral considerations, and many individuals, organisations, Ministers and Members of the Senedd believed that racing greyhound is unacceptable and outdated.
- 7.20. As outlined in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Explanatory Memorandum there is significant stakeholder and public support for a ban on greyhound racing, driven by concerns over animal welfare. Many believe that ending the practice is necessary to protect greyhounds from harm, exploitation, and commodification. Welfare concerns cited by stakeholders<sup>62</sup> include the rate of injury and fatality, euthanasia, overbreeding, and inadequate provisions for post-racing care and retirement.
- 7.21. Beyond welfare issues, an ethical argument is also brought forward for a ban, reflecting society's evolving values around the treatment of animals and the diminishing social licence for industries that are perceived as relying on animal

---

<sup>62</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

suffering for entertainment. As a consequence to this, it is seen as increasingly difficult to justify exposing greyhounds to harm through racing.

- 7.22. As outlined in the Animal Welfare Plan for Wales 2021 to 2026<sup>63</sup>, our vision is for all animals to have a good life, one where their physical and emotional needs are met, and where they are protected from harm. The proposed legislation to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales reflects this commitment. Following public consultation, in which nearly two-thirds of respondents supported a phased ban, and in response to a petition signed by over 35,000 people, we are taking decisive action to safeguard the welfare of racing greyhounds.
- 7.23. This move towards a ban aligns with our broader strategy to introduce a national model for regulating animal welfare establishments and activities, ensuring consistent, proportionate, and enforceable standards across Wales.
- 7.24. An Implementation Group will help transition, allowing us to collaborate with stakeholders to ensure that the welfare of greyhounds, the interests of affected communities, and the integrity of our animal welfare framework are all upheld.
- 7.25. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act seeks to make it an offence to race greyhounds in Wales. This is the preferred option.

---

<sup>63</sup> [Animal Welfare Plan for Wales 2021 to 2026 | GOV.WALES](#)

## **8. Options, costs and benefits**

- 8.1. Costs and benefits have been assessed over a 5-year period from 2027-28 to 2031-32. Unless otherwise stated, costs have been rounded to the nearest £100. The headline cost figures presented in Chapter 6 have been discounted using HM Treasury's central discount rate of 3.5%.
- 8.2. The evidence used to inform this RIA has been gathered from various public and third sector organisations. Information on the financial operations of Valley is limited. Consequently, it has not been possible to fully quantify the cost of the proposed prohibition of greyhound racing in Wales on that business.

### **Option 1: Business as usual – allow greyhound racing to continue in Wales**

- 8.3. This is the baseline option and as such there are no additional costs or benefits associated with this option.

### **Summary of the current position for greyhound racing in Wales**

- 8.4. Prior to the Act there were no specific regulations governing greyhound racing in Wales. Greyhound racing was not subject to any licensing or dedicated regulatory framework under Welsh law. As such, the option of maintaining the status quo would not result in any additional costs, cost savings, or measurable benefits.
- 8.5. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, Valley is the only greyhound racing track in Wales. Following the stadium gaining a GBGB licence in November 2023 it has operated under GBGB licensing conditions. The number of races Valley hosts has increased since it became licensed with GBGB, despite the greyhound racing industry declining overall.
- 8.6. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum there are currently 10 greyhound trainers registered in Wales with GBGB<sup>64</sup>. These trainers primarily race their greyhounds at Valley, 12 trainers (two trainers outside of Wales) are attached to the track with around 250-300 greyhounds eligible to race at Valley. Further information submitted by Valley states that there are 15 trainers attached to Valley, but only 12 appear on GBGB registered trainer list.
- 8.7. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, it is anticipated that the number of greyhound races held at Valley will either remain steady or increase due to its live streaming contracts. Consequently, the demand for greyhounds to participate in races is also likely to remain consistent or rise.
- 8.8. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, there is currently no specific licensing regime for greyhound racing in Wales. Instead, the welfare of racing greyhounds is governed by existing legislation, including the Animal Welfare Act 2006, the Welfare of Animals (Transport) (Wales) Order 2007, and

---

<sup>64</sup> [GBGB Trainer list | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

the Welfare of Animals (Breeding of Dogs) (Wales) Regulations 2014. While these laws provide general protections, they do not offer a dedicated framework for regulating greyhound racing as an activity.

### **Option 2: Introduce a licensing scheme for owners and/or keepers or trainers of greyhounds**

- 8.9. Introducing a licensing scheme for owners and/or keepers or trainers of greyhounds does not meet the policy objective of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales. For this reason, the costs and benefits associated with this option have not been considered in detail.
- 8.10. The public sector costs associated with administering and enforcing a licensing scheme are expected to be greater than those incurred under Option 3. Currently, GBGB licenses greyhound racing activity in Wales, and any future Welsh Government licensing model would likely mirror or build on the standards already applied at Valley. Introducing a licensing system for greyhound racing in Wales could bring several benefits such as helping to ensure that all greyhound racing activities are required to meet clear and consistent standards, including with regard to animal welfare. Licensing could also make it easier to monitor the care and treatment of greyhounds throughout their lives, from breeding to retirement. This would give the public and authorities greater confidence that greyhounds are being properly cared for and not being harmed. The act of racing itself creates the inherent risk of harm to greyhounds. While licensing measures can improve welfare, transparency and accountability, they cannot eliminate the fundamental hazards associated with racing. Valley already operates under GBGB's regulatory framework; however, greyhounds racing at GBGB-regulated stadia, including Valley, continue to face risks of injury and fatality because they are racing, even when the current industry-led standards are met. This demonstrates that the core risks persist regardless of compliance, and therefore the additional benefits of preventing harm through statutory licensing may be difficult to quantify with confidence.
- 8.11. The option of licensing current owners, keepers and trainers of greyhounds is not being disregarded as the prohibition does not apply to the owning, keeping or owning for the purposes of training racing greyhounds. It is being considered as part of the broader work on the national model for the licensing of animal establishments. The Implementation Group may provide further advice on this matter. However, as this option does not align with the policy objective to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales, it falls outside the scope of this specific assessment.

### **Option 3: Introduce primary legislation to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales**

- 8.12. Greyhound racing remains legal in Wales at this time. As detailed in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Explanatory Memorandum, there has been significant support for a ban on the activity from individuals, animal welfare organisations, and Members of the Senedd. These calls reflect growing concerns about the exploitation and commodification of greyhounds, and a desire to bring such practices to an end.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

8.13. A third sector organisation's petition, detailed in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Explanatory Memorandum, which highlighted concerns about the welfare of greyhounds, received 35,101 signatures and was reviewed by the Senedd's Petitions Committee<sup>65</sup> in 2022. The Petitions Committee's report on the petition *The Final Bend?*<sup>66</sup> concluded with a majority recommendation supporting a phased ban on greyhound racing and was debated by the Senedd in Plenary on 8 March 2023.

8.14. As detailed in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, a counter petition titled *The Welsh Government should support greyhound racing in Wales*<sup>67</sup> was submitted in response to calls for a ban. It gathered 10,601 signatures and was considered by the Senedd's Petitions Committee in 2023. The petition argued that greyhound racing provides economic and community benefits, particularly in areas like Ystrad Mynach, and raised concerns about the potential impact of a ban on Valley. It also highlighted that Valley was in the process of becoming a GBGB-licensed track, which would require adherence to over 200 GBGB welfare and integrity rules. Supporters of the petition called for fair treatment for greyhound racing to receive the same recognition as other regulated animal sports in Wales.

8.15. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, the significant public interest in the welfare of racing greyhounds, *The Final Bend?* report, and recommendations from the Petitions Committee, the Welsh Government agreed to include questions about greyhound racing in its *Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits consultation*<sup>68</sup>. A summary of consultation responses<sup>69</sup> was published on 18 December 2024, and the Government's response to the consultation was published on 24 June 2025<sup>70</sup>.

8.16. The rationale for an announcement to move to a ban on greyhound racing in Wales is outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum and discussed further in Chapter 7.

### **Impact on local communities, local economies and the greyhound racing industry in Wales.**

8.17. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, Valley is the only greyhound racing track in Wales. The direct impact of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales will fall on the owner and employees of this stadium and the owners, trainers and keepers of racing greyhounds in Wales that race at Valley. Any profit obtained by the owners of Valley as a result of operating the track for greyhound racing activities would cease once a prohibition on greyhound racing is implemented in Wales.

---

<sup>65</sup> [Petitions Committee](#)

<sup>66</sup> [The Final Bend?](#)

<sup>67</sup> [The Welsh Government should support greyhound racing in Wales - Petitions](#)

<sup>68</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

<sup>69</sup> [Licensing of Animal Welfare Establishments, Activities and Exhibits](#)

<sup>70</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits: Welsh government response | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 8.18. It is understood Valley currently has a media contract with Sports Information Services (SIS Ltd.), a company which provides content and production services. SIS Ltd. have confirmed that the contract has a total value of £15M over the five-year period 2023–2028, after which it is expected to roll on, although the terms of any extension are not known. SIS Ltd. and Valley stadium have indicated that, in order to meet the requirements of the agreement, Valley must host a consistent supply of races to support the delivery of a stable and competitive betting product. In the absence of this legislation, it is assumed a further media contract(s) would be agreed beyond the end of the current contract. Therefore, there is assumed to be a loss of future revenue (relative to the baseline scenario) even if the ban were to coincide with the end of the current contract.
- 8.19. It is difficult to isolate the financial impact of the proposed ban on the track due to Valley being exempt from having to publish a full set of accounts. The total exemption full accounts for Valley Greyhounds Ltd filed for the period September 2022 – 31 December 2023 states that the company was not required to obtain an audit of its financial statements for this period (in accordance with Section 476 of the Companies Act 2006), nor was it required to include a Statement of Income and Retained Earnings (in accordance with Section 444 of the Companies Act 2006<sup>71, 72</sup>).
- 8.20. The total exemption full accounts filed for September 2022 – 31 December 2023 states the average number of employees during this period was one<sup>73</sup>.
- 8.21. The Welsh Government, both through officials and via the Chair of the Implementation Group, has made multiple requests to Valley for the additional information required to fully assess the potential impacts on the business, the local community, and the wider economy. The Senedd’s scrutiny processes also provided the opportunity to provide further information, during the Senedd evidence sessions, through the Committee’s request for written evidence, and as part of the Senedd’s public consultation. The absence of profit and loss data, annual expenditure figures, or detailed employee and supplier information prevents a full understanding of Valley’s financial footprint in the local economy. Without clarity on the scale of local procurement, contractor use, or community-based spending, it is not possible to quantify fully the wider economic displacement that may occur following a prohibition.
- 8.22. As a result, it is not currently possible to estimate the loss of profit Valley will experience as a result of the ban. For the same reasons, it is not possible to fully quantify the wider economic or social impacts arising from the track’s closure, including any effects on local employment, community spending patterns, or associated business activity. This cost is therefore unknown.

---

<sup>71</sup> [VALLEY GREYHOUNDS LTD filing history - Find and update company information - GOV.UK](#)

<sup>72</sup> For financial years beginning between 1 January 2016 and 5 April 2025, the thresholds for submitting exempt accounts were:

- Annual turnover: Not more than £10.2 million
- Balance sheet total (gross assets): Not more than £5.1 million
- Average number of employees: Not more than 50

<sup>73</sup> [VALLEY GREYHOUNDS LTD filing history - Find and update company information - GOV.UK](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

- 8.23. According to *The Economic Impact of Greyhound Racing in the UK: A Report for the Greyhound Board of Great Britain*<sup>74</sup>, the greyhound racing industry supports over 5,400 jobs across the UK, with the majority, around 5,000, employed by racetracks, or are trainers who are central to the operation and care of racing greyhounds. The remaining employment is distributed among breeders, media rights providers, and regulatory bodies. Valley accounts for less than 1% of this total employment, as detailed in the section *Total Direct Employment in Greyhound Racing, by Region* (Source: GBGB, Oliver & Ohlbaum analysis). While this figure may have increased following the introduction of GBGB licensing, it is difficult to determine the extent of change due to a lack of data on these roles.
- 8.24. According to the information provided by Valley they indicate a mixed workforce comprising both directly employed staff and individuals engaged on a self-employed or subcontracted basis. According to the details provided, Valley directly employs 7 individuals: 1 full-time racing manager, 6 part-time racing office and track staff, 1 part-time cleaner. In addition, 1 full-time general manager, 1 racing office and track employee, and 2 part-time administrative staff are employed by Harlow Town Greyhound Entertainment Ltd<sup>75</sup> (Harlow) but carry out functions connected to Valley's operations. The use of employed staff from Harlow, a company based in Essex, further suggests that some income associated with track operations may flow out of the local area rather than remaining within the Welsh economy.
- 8.25. According to the information provided by Valley, a further 74 individuals are linked to the track but are not directly employed by Valley or Harlow. This wider group includes 15 greyhound trainers, supported by 45 kennel hands working across those training operations, as well as 2 bookmakers, 3 veterinarians, 2 catering staff, 3 cameramen, and 3 track-maintenance contractors. These individuals operate on a part-time, self-employed, freelance, or ad hoc basis, reflecting the broader network of roles required to support racing activity. Because many of these individuals work on a flexible or self-employed basis, it has been assessed that it is unlikely that all 74 rely mainly on Valley for their income, and the extent of their financial dependence on the track is likely to vary widely.
- 8.26. While this information from Valley provides a useful picture of the number and type of people linked to the operation of the stadium, it does not include granular financial details such as wages, earnings, or contractual values. As a result, it is not possible to assess the scale of total employment-related income generated by the track, nor the broader economic reliance of these workers on the continuation of racing activities.
- 8.27. The financial details supplied by Valley confirms that trainers are not directly employed by Valley but receive payments based on the number of greyhounds they run, prize money from race wins, and bonus payments for higher numbers

---

<sup>74</sup> [Publications | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>75</sup> [HARLOW TOWN GREYHOUND ENTERTAINMENT LIMITED overview - Find and update company information - GOV.UK](#)

of runners. Recent payments made to trainers from Valley ranged from £50 to £12,825 it is not clear from the information received what period these payments relate to. However, while the documentation explains how payments are structured, it does not provide details of the total annual revenue flowing to trainers who are not directly employed by the track. Because trainers' income varies significantly depending on the number of runners they have, and because no annualised earnings information has been supplied, it is not possible to assess the overall financial impact on trainers and their employees or the extent to which their livelihoods depend on the Valley track.

- 8.28. To further understand the impact on trainers associated with Valley and their employees, the Implementation Group has disseminated a trainer survey to gather information on current operations and potential intentions should a prohibition come into force. However, response levels to date have been limited. As a result, the evidence base is currently insufficient to quantify the likely effects on trainers or their workforce within this assessment however, the IG will continue to explore the impacts with the industry on trainers.
- 8.29. In addition, any individuals or businesses who work at the track, but are not directly employed by Valley, for example hospitality, cleaners or bookmakers taking bets at the racecourse, may lose income. Valley has supplied some limited information about these secondary businesses and service providers; however, no annual expenditure, salary information or clear financial breakdowns have been provided. This means it is not possible to determine how much these individuals or businesses currently rely on income from the track, or to estimate the scale of the financial impact they may experience as a result of a ban on greyhound racing. As a result, the broader economic effect on those indirectly linked to racing cannot be fully assessed. Given the limited scale of attendance and the shift toward media-driven revenue, the likely level of local economic displacement appears small but cannot be quantified.
- 8.30. Valley has reported year-to-date spending of £306,019.20 on contractor-delivered stadium improvements, though no further detail has been provided on the nature, frequency or annual scale of this expenditure.
- 8.31. The information supplied by Valley indicates that a fixed fee of £1,250 per week is paid to secure on-site veterinary cover for race meetings, and that injury-related surgery, estimated at around £1,000 per operation is funded jointly by the track and GBGB under the Injury Recovery Scheme. While this means trainers do not bear the cost of treatment when a greyhound is injured, no annual figures have been provided for wider veterinary spending, such as routine care, support services or the overall volume and cost of medical interventions across a year.
- 8.32. Valley has also not provided details about the veterinary professionals involved, including whether they are linked to a local practice or principally work elsewhere. Without this information, it is not possible to understand the extent of any economic benefit to local veterinary businesses or to determine the track's overall veterinary-related expenditure. It is also not known how the

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

veterinary business would respond to a ban on greyhound racing at Valley and what alternative opportunities might exist for them to at least partially offset any reduction in income. The lack of information on whether veterinary professionals are locally based in Wales further limits understanding of the extent to which this spending supports the Welsh economy rather than providers elsewhere. Similarly, the veterinary sector's ability to redirect capacity following a ban is unknown, creating further uncertainty around potential economic impact. Taken together, this means ongoing costs and wider economic impact of veterinary services associated with the site cannot be fully assessed.

8.33. The additional information supplied by Valley offers some insight into the wider economic activity connected to the track, including payments for veterinary services, printing, accountancy, supplies, sponsors and ongoing stadium improvements. The financial details supplied by Valley include a series of individual costs such as weekly payments for veterinary cover, monthly charges for printing and accountancy services, ad-hoc supply costs, sponsor-related agreements, and year-to-date contractor invoices for stadium improvements. However, many of these figures are presented either as one-off examples, ad-hoc costs, or isolated monthly amounts rather than as comprehensive annual totals. As a result, while the information offers snapshots of certain expenditure areas, it does not provide a full or consistent picture of Valley's recurring operational costs or annual financial commitments, limiting the ability to assess the overall economic impact with confidence.

8.34. According to *The Economic Impact of Greyhound Racing in the UK* (Oliver & Ohlbaum for GBGB, 2025), greyhound racing continues to attract a significant number of spectators, with approximately 1.5 million attendances recorded annually across GBGB licensed tracks. While attendance levels have fluctuated in recent years due to external factors such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the report notes a steady recovery and ongoing public interest, particularly at tracks with strong regional followings and enhanced customer experiences. While there is no available data on current footfall figures at Valley, we estimate the average number of attendees per event is unlikely to exceed a few hundred people. Given these modest attendance levels, spectator-driven economic activity in the local area is likely limited in scale. As live streaming contracts are believed to become the primary revenue source, it also has the potential to reduce the emphasis on spectators.

8.35. Valley has stated that it has not historically been required to record exact attendance figures and therefore does not routinely collect this information. For the current year, the track has provided estimated average attendances of around 180 people on Saturday evenings, 90 on Sundays, and approximately 50 attendees at Wednesday and Thursday afternoon meetings. Valley have confirmed these estimates are indicative only and are influenced by external factors such as other local events, school holidays, bank holidays and weather conditions. As these figures are not based on systematic data collection, they

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

offer only a partial picture of public engagement with the site, limiting the ability to assess attendance-related impacts with confidence.

- 8.36. While the economic footprint appears limited, the track may hold social significance for a small number of local residents and those trainers linked to the track who use the facility as a recreational or community space. These non-economic impacts cannot be fully quantified but are likely to be localised and relatively small in scale.
- 8.37. The estimated attendance levels from Valley indicate relatively modest footfall, particularly on mid-week meetings, suggesting that spectator-driven local spending is limited in scale. The low figures, combined with the shift towards media streaming as the primary revenue source, further indicates that on-site economic activity is unlikely to form a significant component of local hospitality or retail income for the local economy.
- 8.38. As Valley is the only greyhound racing venue in Wales, any direct economic impacts are geographically concentrated in a single locality. Other communities do not rely on greyhound-related tourism or economic activity linked to Greyhound racing. As a result, the national-level economic impact of the prohibition is expected to be very limited.
- 8.39. Valley has indicated an option they have identified for repurposing the site, should greyhound racing cease, is the potential use of the site as a greyhound training centre, with trainers travelling to other tracks outside of Wales to race their greyhounds. However, training greyhounds around a track using a mechanically operated lure would not be permitted under the prohibition, it is therefore assumed this proposal refers instead to a kennelling or boarding-type facility rather than a functioning training track. This issue has been raised in discussions at Implementation Group meetings, and the future use of the site in the event of a ban remains part of ongoing engagement with the group. Valley has additionally confirmed that it is unable to estimate the costs or timescales associated with any redevelopment or repurposing of the site. The information provided also highlights several constraints, including likely planning challenges which may limit development options. Valley further notes that, following significant recent investment, the owner is reluctant to commit to further investment in Wales, adding uncertainty to the feasibility of any future repurposing. No financial value has been placed on the site, and Valley has given no indication that a sale of the site is being considered.

### **Impact on Local Authorities, police and justice system**

- 8.40. There are potential costs to the local authority, police and justice system to enforce a prohibition on greyhound racing in Wales.
- 8.41. It is intended that the local authority will enforce this legislation, using its existing licensing officers to take enforcement action as needed. At this stage, it is anticipated the local authority will not be taking a proactive response to

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

enforcement and will only take action if there are reports of illegal racing taking place. The estimated enforcement cost of one operation to enforce the Act is between £5,000–£10,000. However, breaches of the law are expected to be very rare (if any) and our best estimate of enforcement costs over the five-year appraisal period is £zero.

8.42. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act will make it an offence for an operator of a stadium or a similar venue in Wales to use it, or knowingly permit it to be used, for greyhound racing. It also makes it an offence for a person to be involved in organising greyhound racing that takes place, or is intended to take place, in Wales.

8.43. A person guilty of an offence is liable on summary conviction to an unlimited fine. Anticipated impacts on the criminal justice system have been considered. The best estimate of the impact on the Justice System is £zero. The Welsh Government submitted a Justice System Impact Identification Form to the Ministry of Justice and the Ministry determined that the impact of the Act to the Justice System is nil/minimal.

8.44. As stated, existing licensing officers will be responsible for enforcing the prohibition on greyhound racing under the new legislative framework. As the estimated number of enforcement actions is £zero, we do not anticipate any additional costs to the justice system or to police forces.

### **Impact on the Welsh Government**

8.45. Sections of the Welsh public and a number of third sector organisations have been campaigning for greyhound racing to be banned and the Welsh Government has, for a number of years, received regular correspondence to that effect. Welsh Government officials responded to 159 letters/emails on this subject since 2020.

8.46. Responding to correspondence can be time consuming with each response, on average, taking half a day of an Executive Officer (EO) or Higher Executive Officer's (HEO) time, at a daily rate of between £200 and £300. Assuming a 50/50 split between EO and HEO, responding to correspondence on this subject has cost the Welsh Government approximately £4,800 per year for the last 4 years (October 2020 - July 2025).

8.47. Correspondence on this subject is expected to reduce significantly if greyhound racing is banned. While an increase in correspondence from those opposing the ban, or from individuals calling for it to be extended to include trainers and breeders, cannot be ruled out, it is unlikely to reach the same scale. It is anticipated that correspondence would fall to approximately 20% of previous levels of correspondence on this subject, giving an estimated net saving of approximately £3,800 per annum. This saving is expected to be realised from 2027-28 onwards.

8.48. The Welsh Government will incur a small implementation cost to develop bilingual guidance for the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act,

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

including stakeholder engagement, estimated at £6,600. These are opportunity costs associated with existing staff time. All administrative costs are expected to be incurred between 2027-30.

- 8.49. The development of guidance, based on an estimate of 5,000 words, including engaging stakeholders to ensure it is fit for purpose, is anticipated to take approximately four weeks over a period of three months of a Higher Executive Officer's time, equating to £5,400. The guidance will be available in English and Welsh. Translation would take approximately four days of a Higher Executive Officer's time, equating to £1,100. Design would require approximately half a day of a team supports time, which would equate to £100. Guidance would be published on the Welsh Government website and shared electronically with local authorities, the industry and stakeholders. There would be no printing and distribution costs. All costs associated with producing guidance are expected to be incurred in 2027-28. The total cost for preparing guidance would be approximately £6,600.
- 8.50. Costs to communicate a ban on greyhound racing will also fall to the Welsh Government. This will include publicising the change to the businesses affected, for example via direct mail, issuing press notices and the use of Welsh Government social media accounts. This is expected to take, at the most, the equivalent of a week of a Higher Executive Officer's time, equating to £1,400. This cost is expected to be incurred in 2027-28.
- 8.51. The Implementation Group has been established by the Welsh Government to advise on the transition towards a ban on greyhound racing in Wales. It brings together expertise from across the sector to support a planned and fair transition. As part of its remit, the Group has and will continue to offer practical advice and guidance to the Welsh Government through reporting to Welsh Ministers. The costs associated with the Group are outlined in the costs table in section 6 above, covering the Chair's remuneration, reasonable expenses, group secretariat and the opportunity costs for members' time. The annual cost of the implementation group is estimated to be £23,000 (including opportunity costs).

## Benefits

- 8.52. Under this option, greyhound racing in Wales will be prohibited. As outlined in Chapter 3 and Chapter 4 of the Explanatory Memorandum and within this chapter, there is a strength of public feeling that greyhounds are exploited and seen as a commodity and are significantly negatively impacted by the activity of racing. This strength of feeling has been demonstrated in the support from individuals, organisations and Senedd Members of a prohibition on racing.
- 8.53. It is clear from submissions from the *Ban greyhound racing in Wales* petition<sup>76</sup> response and the response to the questions on greyhounds in the *Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits*<sup>77</sup> consultation that the

---

<sup>76</sup> [P-06-1253 Ban greyhound racing in Wales](#)

<sup>77</sup> [Licensing of animal welfare establishments, activities and exhibits | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

majority of respondents agree that racing practices which commodify greyhounds risks negatively impacting their welfare. A prohibition would also align Wales with other countries that have taken or are intending to take the same approach, including New Zealand and most recently a Members' Bill which was passed by the Scottish Parliament in March 2026.

- 8.54. It is increasingly difficult to justify racing greyhounds given the injury and fatality rates, the wider welfare concerns and post racing outcomes. It is clear from stakeholder engagement that most people agree animals should not be objectified or perceived as commodities for our entertainment, but rather as sentient beings with their own unique sets of needs.
- 8.55. As outlined above, the number of races held at Valley has been increasing since it became licensed with GBGB in 2023, and it can be assumed that the reported 265 greyhounds attached to Valley, would be negatively impacted by this rise or continuation.
- 8.56. Data published by GBGB<sup>78</sup> in June 2025, provides data up to and including 2024. It shows that from 355,682 dog runs in 2024 falling from 364,981 in 2023, there were 3,809 reported injuries, falling from 4,238 in 2023, and 123 track-related fatalities, rising from 109 in 2023. There have been 30,331 individual injuries and 1,100 fatalities across 2,598,365 total dog runs at GBGB operated tracks between 2018 and 2024.
- 8.57. The harm greyhounds experience in racing is both evidenced and measurable as reported annually by GBGB. Prohibiting greyhound racing would be a direct and effective way to prevent this avoidable harm. It also reflects a clear moral position of those who support a ban, as knowingly exposing greyhounds to this level of risk and suffering for the purposes of entertainment and commercial gain is deemed unacceptable. Prohibition would prevent harm and aligns with a growing public concern about the treatment of animals used in entertainment.
- 8.58. The 2024 figures published by GBGB incorporated Valley for the first time, following its affiliation with GBGB in late 2023. We have limited data from Valley; while its data is now included in the recently published GB-wide statistics, it is not identifiable or reported separately. There is no indication that injury or fatality rates at Valley differ significantly from this trend, as it adheres to the same GBGB standards as other licensed tracks.
- 8.59. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, the 2023 SAWC review, *Welfare of greyhounds used for racing: report*<sup>79</sup>, found that greyhounds would likely have better welfare if they were not used for racing. The report highlighted serious risks, such as injuries on UK-style tracks, and noted that the industry has been slow to make the major changes needed to fix these

---

<sup>78</sup> [Injury and Retirement Data | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

<sup>79</sup> [Welfare of greyhounds used for racing: report - gov.scot](#)

problems. Although the review was done for the Scottish Government, its findings are still relevant to Wales, as the report provides valuable insight, on wider issues for greyhounds in racing.

- 8.60. As outlined in Chapter 3 of the Explanatory Memorandum, the New Zealand Government (NZ) has built a strong body of evidence over the past decade highlighting ongoing welfare concerns in greyhound racing. Starting with the 2013 *WHK Report* and followed by the 2017 *Hansen Report*<sup>80</sup>, the industry was urged to improve transparency and animal welfare. Despite claims of progress, a 2021 independent review<sup>81</sup> found persistent issues. Continued monitoring by the Racing Integrity Board led to a final decision in 2022 to phase out greyhound racing entirely by July 2026 in NZ, due to the industry's failure to meet welfare standards. Although this evidence comes from outside Wales, it offers valuable insight into the risks and challenges associated with greyhound racing. It provides a strong international precedent that can support a prohibition here, helping to prevent similar harm to greyhound in Wales.
- 8.61. Through prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales, it is reasonable to assume that greyhounds will suffer fewer injuries and fatalities through racing leading to overall improved welfare, if it is ensured that the majority of those currently bred and kept for racing are rehomed as well-cared for pets. It could also be assumed that a prohibition on racing greyhounds will result in fewer greyhounds being bred, trained or kept for racing purposes in Wales over time, which would reduce negative concerns such as over breeding, kennelling conditions and neglect.
- 8.62. The ban on greyhound racing demonstrates that we care about how animals are treated in our society. Greyhound racing, as it currently operates, may not provide those greyhounds with what could reasonably be considered a 'good life' and in some cases, may not even meet the threshold of a 'life worth living'. Concerns around injuries, confinement, and the uncertainty of life after racing raise serious questions about whether the welfare needs of these animals can truly be met within the industry. Ending greyhound racing in Wales sends a clear message that we are committed to protecting animal welfare and ensuring that all animals are given the chance to live safe, healthy, and fulfilling lives.
- 8.63. Banning greyhound racing in Wales could lead to long-term cost savings for animal welfare charities involved in rehoming retired racing greyhounds. With fewer greyhounds entering the racing industry, the number of those greyhounds requiring rehoming would gradually decline, easing the financial and logistical burden on these organisations. However, as greyhound racing is expected to continue in England and the Republic of Ireland, the overall impact on rehoming pressures in Wales may be limited. Cross-border movement of retired

---

<sup>80</sup> [Racing Policy - dia.govt.nz](#)

<sup>81</sup> [Greyhound-Review-Final-Report-12-December-2022.pdf](#)

greyhounds could mean that Welsh charities still face demand from outside the country, potentially diluting the benefits of a Wales-only ban.

## **Disbenefits**

- 8.64. As outlined previously in this chapter, a prohibition on racing greyhounds in Wales would have a negative impact on the owner and employees of Valley, including the loss of any profit made by the owner as a result of hosting races at the track, and a loss of income to any individuals who are employed at the track. In addition, such a prohibition would adversely affect greyhound owners and trainers, who rely on the racing industry as a source of income.
- 8.65. Valley is currently a part of its local community. Customers who attend races regularly are presumed to gain utility from watching the races and from the social networks they have developed around the activity. A prohibition on racing could therefore result in a loss of both enjoyment and social opportunities for these individuals. The cost of entry for an adult at Valley is £5<sup>82</sup>, with the utility gained from attending an event expected to exceed this value. However, following the prohibition on the racing of greyhounds, spectators would be expected to shift to the consumption of their 'next best' good or service, reducing their welfare loss. Similarly, many of the owners and trainers connected to the track, derive income and professional engagement from their involvement in racing. A prohibition would likely result in a loss of livelihood and industry participation for them as well.
- 8.66. Race attendance remains unknown and therefore the impact on the wider local economy, such as use of travel networks or hospitality providers, is difficult to quantify. It could be assumed that the majority of people attending the track to watch races are local individuals who, if not attending the racetrack, would be expected to engage in different local leisure activities, incurring the costs associated with those activities instead. This displacement is expected to minimise any impact on the wider local economy although individual businesses in close proximity to the stadium could still be negatively affected.
- 8.67. It is reported there are currently 12 greyhound trainers registered to race in Wales with GBGB, with 250-300 greyhounds eligible to race at Valley. It is assumed these are greyhounds currently racing and does not include young greyhounds yet to race and any retained/retired greyhounds to be used for breeding. The income generated by trainers affiliated with Valley is currently unknown. As a result, it is not possible to accurately assess the financial losses that could be experienced by registered trainers due to a ban on greyhound racing in Wales. This cost is therefore unknown.
- 8.68. These trainers primarily race their greyhounds at Valley. The impact on Wales-based trainers is difficult to quantify. Following the ban, some may attempt to

---

<sup>82</sup> [Greyhounds | Valley Greyhounds | Wales](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

continue racing in England, which could introduce additional logistical and financial burdens due to increased travel requirements. Others may choose to leave the industry altogether.

8.69. Swindon Greyhound Stadium was the easiest track to access in England for trainers from South Wales, making it accessible for Welsh trainers. Since the announcement of its closure<sup>83</sup>, the nearest tracks are now in the midland counties of England. These are further away, meaning longer travel times for racing greyhounds being transported and higher transport costs. This change could make it harder for some trainers to keep racing regularly and might affect how many stay involved in greyhound racing.

8.70. In addition, individuals who gain income from the racetrack but are not directly employed by Valley, such as those providing goods or services, such as track side bookmakers and hospitality contractors, may experience a loss of income following the track's closure. It has not been possible to estimate these costs, and they are therefore unknown.

8.71. GBGB runs a bond-based Greyhound Retirement Scheme (GRS)<sup>84</sup> to support rehoming. Owners pay £210 when registering a greyhound to race, which GBGB matches, creating a £420 bond. This is paid to approved rehoming centres when the greyhound retires, helping cover rehoming costs. The scheme aims to promote responsible ownership and ease the financial burden on rehoming organisations.

8.72. Some rehoming organisations choose not to participate in the GBGB's GRS. These groups often hold strong moral objections to greyhound racing itself and prefer not to be financially linked to the industry. Additionally, GBGB have confirmed that it only approves rehoming centres that do not actively support a ban on greyhound racing. This stance limits the number of eligible rehoming partners and excludes some well-established welfare organisations, potentially narrowing the network of support available for retired greyhounds.

8.73. Welfare organisations directly represented on the Implementation Group, along with those supporting its work as part of a wider network of organisations, are working collaboratively to ensure that greyhounds affected by a ban in Wales, can be safely and appropriately rehomed. These organisations do not currently receive the GBGB rehoming bond through the GRS, meaning their contribution to rehoming efforts is provided without financial support from the industry. Some greyhounds may continue to be used for racing in England, while others may enter retirement and stay with their current owners or trainers. But many will need new homes, and we must make sure they are not put at risk because of the change. That is why a clear and well-organised plan for rehoming is essential. The Implementation Group's representatives are committed to making this happen and ensuring the greyhounds' welfare.

---

<sup>83</sup> [Greyhound News UK](#)

<sup>84</sup> [Greyhound Retirement Scheme | Greyhound Board of Great Britain](#)

8.74. There will be a transition period of at least 12-months between Royal Assent and the Act's provisions coming into force. This is intended to give Valley sufficient time to prepare its premises and staff, and to ensure the welfare of racing greyhounds, including potential rehoming. As there is only one racetrack in Wales, it is expected the transition to a ban will give adequate time for industry stakeholders, animal welfare charities, and GBGB to prepare. The support and advice of the Implementation Group will be pivotal in identifying and mitigating any associated impacts.

## **Summary**

8.75. The uncertainties and lack of publicly available financial information about greyhound racing in Wales means quantifiable benefits are difficult to assess. However, the net social value impact (be it positive or negative) of the Act is expected to be limited given the scale of the greyhound racing industry in Wales. Option 3 is expected to impose minimal additional administrative costs on public bodies (and much lower administrative costs than a licensing scheme). While prohibiting greyhound racing is likely to have a negative financial impact on a small number of businesses in Wales, those impacts are considered to be outweighed by the ethical concerns associated with greyhound racing, exposing greyhounds to preventable harm for entertainment and commercial gain. The ethical concerns and welfare of animals are not directly factored and so are part of the unquantified benefits. The preferred option meets the objective and provides an administrative cost saving, therefore better value for money for the public sector overall. On that basis, option 3 - to introduce primary legislation to prohibit greyhound racing in Wales was the preferred option.

8.76. To date, limited information has been provided by the industry and the sole greyhound racing business operating in Wales. Much of the information provided does not include clear annual figures, forward projections, or a full picture of recurring financial commitments. In particular, no annualised information on spend, income or profit has been made available. We have completed our assessment on the basis of the information available, however, the absence of full data means it is not possible to determine the overall financial position of the business or to assess the wider economic and social impact. The lack of robust information also prevents a comprehensive assessment of the degree to which local communities and supply chains rely on racing-related income, or whether economic activity displaced by the ban would be replaced by alternative forms of local commerce over time.

8.77. Mitigating the impacts of the Act will be supported through the work of the Implementation Group, which is focused on mitigating effects on the welfare of greyhounds, the industry, the local economy, and surrounding communities.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **9. Impact Assessments**

- 9.1. An Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) has been undertaken, on the provisions in the Prohibition of Greyhound racing (Wales) Act. A summary of the impacts undertaken is outlined below. The Integrated impact assessment has been published on the Welsh Government website.
- 9.2. Specific assessments were undertaken to understand the impact of the Bill on the following areas:
  - Equality
  - Children's rights
  - Welsh Language
  - Biodiversity and environment
  - Socio-economic Duty
  - Privacy and data protection
  - Justice
- 9.3. Following screening, it was decided that full assessment for the impacts on rural individuals and communities and on the health of the general population were not required.
- 9.4. Our initial screening has shown us that the issues we have considered for some of our other impact assessments could also affect health. However, this would not be within the general population. As set out below, we are working with stakeholders directly affected to mitigate these impacts.
- 9.5. We consider there to be no impacts on rural life. There is currently only one greyhound racing track in Wales which is not located in an area considered within the broad definition of rural<sup>85</sup>.
- 9.6. The impacts identified by these assessments have been kept under review as the legislation has progressed through the Senedd and have been updated with any new information that has been made available to Welsh Government to date. Consideration will continue to be given to how any potential negative impacts can be mitigated. Identifying and developing mitigation measures, along with providing practical advice and guidance, is a core function of the Implementation Group, whose role is to support a planned and reasonable transition away from racing in Wales. Engagement with external stakeholders through the Implementation Group is ongoing, enabling continued assessment of the potential impacts of the Act and the identification of appropriate mitigating actions.

---

<sup>85</sup> [SB10/2008 - Rural Wales: Definitions and how to choose between them](#)

## **Summary of the Integrated Impact Assessment**

9.7. Below is a summary of the specific assessments that were undertaken to understand the impact of the Bill on the following areas:

- Equality
- Children's rights
- Welsh Language
- Biodiversity and environment
- Socio-economic Duty
- Privacy and data protection
- Justice

### **Impact on equalities**

9.8. The impact of the Act on those with protected characteristics has been considered. Our assessment has identified that the Act provisions will apply equally to all people. This includes the impact of the Act on Article 8 and Article 1 Protocol 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights. The second edition of the assessment will outline that any impacts on the wider community will not be specific to any one person or group of people with protected characteristics, but to society more broadly.

### **Impact on children's rights**

9.9. The impact of the Act on the rights of children has been considered. This suggests that there may be a positive impact on the long-term behaviours and attitudes that children and young people have towards animals. However, there is a possible negative impact on the children of families who rely on greyhound racing for jobs and income. We are working to understand the real level of this impact, and to mitigate it as much as possible.

### **Impact on the Welsh language**

9.10. The impact of the Act on the Welsh Language has been considered. This suggests that there would be little or no impact, positive or negative, on the use of the Welsh language as a result of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales. The impact assessment included considering the impact of the Act on Welsh speakers, Welsh language groups, Welsh medium education and learners and services available in Welsh.

### **Impact on environment and biodiversity**

9.11. The impact of the Act on the environment and biodiversity has been considered. This suggests that there would be little or no impact, positive or negative, on the environment. However, there may be secondary impacts from its introduction.

9.12. The only racetrack in Wales is in Caerphilly County Borough Council. The ban will impact the future of the stadium. The decision about what happens to the land, as a consequence of the ban will be one for the landowner and the local

authority. At the point of this decision, it will be subject to the appropriate assessment of its potential impacts on the local environment and biodiversity by the local planning authority.

### **Impact on the socio-economic duty**

- 9.13. The impact of the Act against the Socio-Economic duty<sup>86</sup> has been considered. The duty requires public bodies to give due regard to how their strategic decisions can reduce inequalities of outcome associated with socio-economic disadvantage. The assessment examines the potential impacts of prohibiting greyhound racing in Wales, recognising that the decision may affect individuals who currently benefit from accessible employment and low-cost social opportunities linked to the industry, particularly in Ystrad Mynach. The assessment identifies opportunities for positive outcomes through access to retraining, employability support and community development initiatives, including programmes such as ReAct+ and Communities for Work+. These measures provide a pathway to delivering long-term benefits, including improved employability, stronger social connections and increased resilience within local communities.
- 9.14. Ongoing practical advice and guidance from the Implementation Group aims to ensure risks are mitigated and support remains responsive to the needs of individuals and communities most affected.

### **Impact on the justice system**

- 9.15. The impact of the Act on the justice system has been considered. The Welsh Government submitted a Justice System Impact Identification Form to the Ministry of Justice and the Ministry determined that the impact of the Act to the Justice System is nil/minimal. The Lady Chief Justice's Department has also been consulted of the anticipated impact the Act will have on the justice system.
- 9.16. The Act creates new offences which include where a person who is the operator of a stadium or similar venue in Wales uses it, or knowingly permits it be used, for greyhound racing. The Act also makes it an offence to be involved in organising greyhound racing that takes place, or is intended to take place, in Wales. The purpose of the offences is to prevent greyhound racing from taking place at the one track currently being operated in Wales, or at other tracks in Wales in future. The Act makes provisions for powers of enforcement. The Act also creates additional offences relating to obstruction. It is anticipated that the inspectors who will be carrying out the enforcement will be existing local authority officers. Offences would be prosecuted in the Magistrates' Court as summary only offences.
- 9.17. The number of cases brought forward for enforcement action is anticipated to be very low, because of the single stadium and number of owners/trainers who are known to race their greyhounds at the track. It is therefore expected that the potential impact on the justice system of the new offences introduced by this

---

<sup>86</sup> [A More Equal Wales: The Socio-economic Duty | GOV.WALES](#)

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

legislation will be very low, whilst the likely number of cases that may require consideration by the courts is uncertain. The legislation is unique to Wales and any cases that require consideration by courts may require new systems, His Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service (HMCTS) procedures and training to ensure enforcement.

### **Data Protection impact**

9.18. The Act will require the gathering and processing of personal data by local authorities if individuals carry out one of the offences introduced by the new legislation. Local authority officers will have primary responsibility for enforcement of the new offences introduced by the Act. All local authorities share intelligence via a central database, working with Trading Standards and other councils. This data sharing is governed by agreements and managed in line with each authority's own data protection policies.

9.19. There is currently no data to accurately estimate the scale of data collection that may be required by local authorities. It is likely to be negligible or very low due to there being only one greyhound racing stadium operating in Wales. We have worked and continue to work with affected stakeholders through the Implementation Group to help prepare for the Act provisions coming into force.

9.20. We have engaged with the Information Commissioner's Office about this proposal. An assessment of the impact of the Act on privacy and data protection has been considered in full.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **10. Post-Implementation Review**

10.1. The Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act is subject to a post-legislative review as provided for in section 5 of the Act. This sets out how Welsh Ministers must carry out a review of the operation and effect of, and compliance with, the Act, within three years of commencement of the prohibition, and must publish a report on that review, in such manner as they consider appropriate.

Please note: this document has been prepared solely to assist people in understanding the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act. It should not be relied on for any other purpose.

## **Annex 1: Explanatory Notes**

The explanatory notes to the Prohibition of Greyhound Racing (Wales) Act 2026 can be found here:

## Annex 2: Index of Standing Order requirements

The following table is required when the Explanatory Memorandum is published alongside the Bill on introduction to show how the Standing Order requirements on the Bill have been fulfilled. Whilst these Standing Orders no longer apply to this final version that accompanies the Act, this section has been included for reference and to show the rationale for the main sections of the Explanatory Memorandum.

Standing order	Section	pages/ paragraphs
26.6(i)	Statement the provisions of the Bill would be within the legislative competence of the Senedd	Member's declaration N/A
26.6(ii)	Set out the policy objectives of the Bill	Chapter 3 - Purpose and intended effect of the legislation Pages 5-13, para 3.1 – 3.49.
26.6(iii)	Set out whether alternative ways of achieving the policy objectives were considered and, if so, why the approach taken in the Bill was adopted	Part 2 – Regulatory Impact Assessment Pages 30-48 paras 7.1-8.77
26.6(iv)	Set out the consultation, if any, which was undertaken on:  (a) the policy objectives of the Bill and the ways of meeting them;  (b) the detail of the Bill, and  (c) a draft Bill, either in full or in part (and if in part, which parts)	Chapter 4 – Consultation Pages 14-17, paras 4.1-4.25
26.6(v)	Set out a summary of the outcome of that consultation, including how and why any draft Bill has been amended	Chapter 4 – Consultation Pages 14-17, paras 4.1-4.25
26.6(vi)	If the Bill, or part of the Bill, was not previously published as a draft, state the reasons for that decision	Chapter 4 – Consultation Page 14, para 4.1

Standing order	Section	pages/ paragraphs
26.6(vii)	Summarise objectively what each of the provisions of the Bill is intended to do (to the extent that it requires explanation or comment) and give other information necessary to explain the effect of the Bill	Annex 1 – Explanatory Notes  Page 54
26.6(viii)	Set out the best estimates of:  (a) the gross administrative, compliance and other costs to which the provisions of the Bill would give rise;  (b) the administrative savings arising from the Bill;  (c) net administrative costs of the Bill’s provisions;  (d) the timescales over which such costs and savings would be expected to arise; and  (e) on whom the costs would fall	Part 2 – Regulatory Impact Assessment  Pages 20-25
26.6(ix)	Any environmental and social benefits and dis-benefits arising from the Bill that cannot be quantified financially	Part 2 – Regulatory Impact Assessment  Page 24
26.6(x)	Where the Bill contains any provision conferring power to make subordinate legislation, set out, in relation to each such provision:  (a) the person upon whom, or the body upon which, the	Chapter 5 - Power to make subordinate legislation  Page 18, Table 5.1

Standing order	Section	pages/ paragraphs	
	<p>power is conferred and the form in which the power is to be exercised;</p> <p>(b) why it is considered appropriate to delegate the power; and</p> <p>(c) the Senedd procedure (if any) to which the subordinate legislation made or to be made in the exercise of the power is to be subject, and why it was considered appropriate to make it subject to that procedure (and not to make it subject to any other procedure)</p>		
26.6(xi)	Where the Bill contains any provision charging expenditure on the Welsh Consolidated Fund, incorporate a report of the Auditor General setting out his or her views on whether the charge is appropriate	The requirement of Standing Order 26.6(xi) does not apply to this Bill	N/A
26.6(xii)	Set out the potential impact (if any) on the justice system in England and Wales of the provisions of the Bill (a “justice impact assessment”), in accordance with section 110A of the Act.	Part 2 – Regulatory Impact Assessment	Pages 51-52, paras 9.15-9.17
26.6B	Where provisions of the Bill are derived from existing primary legislation, whether for the purposes of amendment or consolidation, the Explanatory Memorandum must be accompanied by a table of derivations that explain clearly how the Bill relates to the existing legal framework.	The requirement in Standing Order 26.6B for a Table of Derivations is not applicable to this Bill as the Bill is a standalone piece of legislation and does not derive from existing primary legislation for the purposes of	N/A

Standing order		Section	pages/ paragraphs
		amendment or consolidation.	
26.6C	Where the Bill proposes to significantly amend existing primary legislation, the Explanatory Memorandum must be accompanied by a schedule setting out the wording of existing legislation amended by the Bill, and setting out clearly how that wording is amended by the Bill.	The requirement is Standing Order 26.6C for a Schedule of Amendments is not applicable to this Bill as the Bill does not propose to significantly amend existing primary legislation.	N/A